

Annual Report 2011

Transmission Sector

OMB Control No. 2060-0328

Pending OMB Approval



Company Information

Company Name: **Williams Gas Pipeline**

Gas STAR Contact: **Mike Callegari**

Title **Senior Enviro. Scientist, Air Quality Compliance**

Address: **2800 Post Oak Boulevard**
L-17

City: **Houston**

State: **TX**

Zip: **77056**

Phone: **(713) 215-4584**

Fax: **(713) 215-3905**

E-mail: **Michael.C.Callegari@Williams.com**

Company Information Updated: **No**

Activities Reported

BMP1: No BMP2: No BMP3: No BMP4: Yes

Total Methane Emission Reductions Reported This Year: **982,109**

Previous Years' Activities Reported: **No**

Period Covered by Report

From: **01/01/2011**

To: **12/31/2011**

☒ I hereby certify the accuracy of the data contained in this report.

Additional Comments

Annual Report 2011

Transmission Sector

OMB Control No. 2060-0328

Pending OMB Approval



BMP4: Partner Reported Opportunities (PROs)

Current Year Activities

A. Facility/location identifier information:

Oregon City, OR

B. Description of PRO

Please specify the technology or practice that was implemented:

Use pipeline pump-down techniques to lower gas line pressure before maintenance

Please describe how your company implemented this PRO:

Portable Recompression

C. Level of Implementation

D. Methane Emissions Reduction

Methane Emissions Reduction: **11,040 Mcf/year**

Basis for the emissions reduction estimate: **Actual field measurement**

E. Are these emissions reductions a one-year reduction or a multi-year reduction?

☒ One-year

☐ Multi-year

If Multi-year:

Partner will report this activity once and let EPA automatically calculate future emission reductions based on sunset date duration.

Partner will report this activity annually up to allowed sunset date.

Annual Report 2011

Transmission Sector

OMB Control No. 2060-0328
Pending OMB Approval



F. Cost Summary

Estimated cost of implementing the PRO (including equipment and labor): **\$ 35,860**

G. Total Value of Gas Saved

Value of Gas Saved: **\$ 44,160**

\$ / Mcf used: **\$ 4.00**

H. Planned Future Activities

To what extent do you expect to implement this PRO next year?: **As needed.**

Previous Years' Activities

Year	Frequency of practice/activity or # of Installations	Total Cost * (\$)	Estimated Reductions (Mcf/Yr)	Value of Gas Saved (\$)

* Total cost of practice/activity (including equipment and labor)

Additional Comments

Annual Report 2011

Transmission Sector

OMB Control No. 2060-0328

Pending OMB Approval



BMP4: Partner Reported Opportunities (PROs)

Current Year Activities

A. Facility/location identifier information:

Skagit Co, WA

B. Description of PRO

Please specify the technology or practice that was implemented:

Use pipeline pump-down techniques to lower gas line pressure before maintenance

Please describe how your company implemented this PRO:

Portable Recompression

C. Level of Implementation

D. Methane Emissions Reduction

Methane Emissions Reduction: **5,043 Mcf/year**

Basis for the emissions reduction estimate: **Actual field measurement**

E. Are these emissions reductions a one-year reduction or a multi-year reduction?

☒ One-year

☐ Multi-year

If Multi-year:

Partner will report this activity once and let EPA automatically calculate future emission reductions based on sunset date duration.

Partner will report this activity annually up to allowed sunset date.

Annual Report 2011

Transmission Sector

OMB Control No. 2060-0328
Pending OMB Approval



F. Cost Summary

Estimated cost of implementing the PRO (including equipment and labor): **\$ 20,980**

G. Total Value of Gas Saved

Value of Gas Saved: **\$ 20,172**

\$ / Mcf used: **\$ 4.00**

H. Planned Future Activities

To what extent do you expect to implement this PRO next year?: **As Needed.**

Previous Years' Activities

Year	Frequency of practice/activity or # of Installations	Total Cost * (\$)	Estimated Reductions (Mcf/Yr)	Value of Gas Saved (\$)

* Total cost of practice/activity (including equipment and labor)

Additional Comments

Annual Report 2011

Transmission Sector

OMB Control No. 2060-0328
Pending OMB Approval



BMP4: Partner Reported Opportunities (PROs)

Current Year Activities

A. Facility/location identifier information:

Wahatcon Co., WA

B. Description of PRO

Please specify the technology or practice that was implemented:

Use pipeline pump-down techniques to lower gas line pressure before maintenance

Please describe how your company implemented this PRO:

Portable Recompression

C. Level of Implementation

D. Methane Emissions Reduction

Methane Emissions Reduction: **7,756 Mcf/year**

Basis for the emissions reduction estimate: **Actual field measurement**

E. Are these emissions reductions a one-year reduction or a multi-year reduction?

☒ One-year

☐ Multi-year

If Multi-year:

Partner will report this activity once and let EPA automatically calculate future emission reductions based on sunset date duration.

Partner will report this activity annually up to allowed sunset date.

Annual Report 2011

Transmission Sector

OMB Control No. 2060-0328
Pending OMB Approval



F. Cost Summary

Estimated cost of implementing the PRO (including equipment and labor): **\$ 26,700**

G. Total Value of Gas Saved

Value of Gas Saved: **\$ 31,024**

\$ / Mcf used: **\$ 4.00**

H. Planned Future Activities

To what extent do you expect to implement this PRO next year?: **As Needed.**

Previous Years' Activities

Year	Frequency of practice/activity or # of Installations	Total Cost * (\$)	Estimated Reductions (Mcf/Yr)	Value of Gas Saved (\$)

* Total cost of practice/activity (including equipment and labor)

Additional Comments

Annual Report 2011

Transmission Sector

OMB Control No. 2060-0328
Pending OMB Approval



BMP4: Partner Reported Opportunities (PROs)

Current Year Activities

A. Facility/location identifier information:

Alexander City, AL

B. Description of PRO

Please specify the technology or practice that was implemented:

Use pipeline pump-down techniques to lower gas line pressure before maintenance

Please describe how your company implemented this PRO:

Portable Recompression

C. Level of Implementation

D. Methane Emissions Reduction

Methane Emissions Reduction: **44,020 Mcf/year**

Basis for the emissions reduction estimate: **Actual field measurement**

E. Are these emissions reductions a one-year reduction or a multi-year reduction?

☒ One-year

☐ Multi-year

If Multi-year:

Partner will report this activity once and let EPA automatically calculate future emission reductions based on sunset date duration.

Partner will report this activity annually up to allowed sunset date.

Annual Report 2011

Transmission Sector

OMB Control No. 2060-0328
Pending OMB Approval



F. Cost Summary

Estimated cost of implementing the PRO (including equipment and labor): **\$ 85,370**

G. Total Value of Gas Saved

Value of Gas Saved: **\$ 176,080**

\$ / Mcf used: **\$ 4.00**

H. Planned Future Activities

To what extent do you expect to implement this PRO next year?: **As Needed.**

Previous Years' Activities

Year	Frequency of practice/activity or # of Installations	Total Cost * (\$)	Estimated Reductions (Mcf/Yr)	Value of Gas Saved (\$)

* Total cost of practice/activity (including equipment and labor)

Additional Comments

Annual Report 2011

Transmission Sector

OMB Control No. 2060-0328
Pending OMB Approval



BMP4: Partner Reported Opportunities (PROs)

Current Year Activities

A. Facility/location identifier information:

Billingsley, AL

B. Description of PRO

Please specify the technology or practice that was implemented:

Use pipeline pump-down techniques to lower gas line pressure before maintenance

Please describe how your company implemented this PRO:

Portable Recompression

C. Level of Implementation

D. Methane Emissions Reduction

Methane Emissions Reduction: **111,514 Mcf/year**

Basis for the emissions reduction estimate: **Actual field measurement**

E. Are these emissions reductions a one-year reduction or a multi-year reduction?

☒ One-year

☐ Multi-year

If Multi-year:

Partner will report this activity once and let EPA automatically calculate future emission reductions based on sunset date duration.

Partner will report this activity annually up to allowed sunset date.

Annual Report 2011

Transmission Sector

OMB Control No. 2060-0328
Pending OMB Approval



F. Cost Summary

Estimated cost of implementing the PRO (including equipment and labor): **\$ 195,300**

G. Total Value of Gas Saved

Value of Gas Saved: **\$ 446,057**

\$ / Mcf used: **\$ 4.00**

H. Planned Future Activities

To what extent do you expect to implement this PRO next year?: **As Needed.**

Previous Years' Activities

Year	Frequency of practice/activity or # of Installations	Total Cost * (\$)	Estimated Reductions (Mcf/Yr)	Value of Gas Saved (\$)

* Total cost of practice/activity (including equipment and labor)

Additional Comments

Annual Report 2011

Transmission Sector

OMB Control No. 2060-0328

Pending OMB Approval



BMP4: Partner Reported Opportunities (PROs)

Current Year Activities

A. Facility/location identifier information:

Bogart, GA

B. Description of PRO

Please specify the technology or practice that was implemented:

Use pipeline pump-down techniques to lower gas line pressure before maintenance

Please describe how your company implemented this PRO:

Portable Recompression

C. Level of Implementation

D. Methane Emissions Reduction

Methane Emissions Reduction: **26,515 Mcf/year**

Basis for the emissions reduction estimate: **Actual field measurement**

E. Are these emissions reductions a one-year reduction or a multi-year reduction?

☒ One-year

☐ Multi-year

If Multi-year:

Partner will report this activity once and let EPA automatically calculate future emission reductions based on sunset date duration.

Partner will report this activity annually up to allowed sunset date.

Annual Report 2011

Transmission Sector

OMB Control No. 2060-0328
Pending OMB Approval



F. Cost Summary

Estimated cost of implementing the PRO (including equipment and labor): **\$ 74,050**

G. Total Value of Gas Saved

Value of Gas Saved: **\$ 106,060**

\$ / Mcf used: **\$ 4.00**

H. Planned Future Activities

To what extent do you expect to implement this PRO next year?: **As Needed.**

Previous Years' Activities

Year	Frequency of practice/activity or # of Installations	Total Cost * (\$)	Estimated Reductions (Mcf/Yr)	Value of Gas Saved (\$)

* Total cost of practice/activity (including equipment and labor)

Additional Comments

Annual Report 2011

Transmission Sector

OMB Control No. 2060-0328
Pending OMB Approval



BMP4: Partner Reported Opportunities (PROs)

Current Year Activities

A. Facility/location identifier information:

Butler, AL

B. Description of PRO

Please specify the technology or practice that was implemented:

Use pipeline pump-down techniques to lower gas line pressure before maintenance

Please describe how your company implemented this PRO:

Portable Recompression

C. Level of Implementation

D. Methane Emissions Reduction

Methane Emissions Reduction: **14,128 Mcf/year**

Basis for the emissions reduction estimate: **Actual field measurement**

E. Are these emissions reductions a one-year reduction or a multi-year reduction?

☒ One-year

☐ Multi-year

If Multi-year:

Partner will report this activity once and let EPA automatically calculate future emission reductions based on sunset date duration.

Partner will report this activity annually up to allowed sunset date.

Annual Report 2011

Transmission Sector

OMB Control No. 2060-0328
Pending OMB Approval



F. Cost Summary

Estimated cost of implementing the PRO (including equipment and labor): **\$ 30,570**

G. Total Value of Gas Saved

Value of Gas Saved: **\$ 56,510**

\$ / Mcf used: **\$ 4.00**

H. Planned Future Activities

To what extent do you expect to implement this PRO next year?: **As Needed.**

Previous Years' Activities

Year	Frequency of practice/activity or # of Installations	Total Cost * (\$)	Estimated Reductions (Mcf/Yr)	Value of Gas Saved (\$)

* Total cost of practice/activity (including equipment and labor)

Additional Comments

Annual Report 2011

Transmission Sector

OMB Control No. 2060-0328
Pending OMB Approval



BMP4: Partner Reported Opportunities (PROs)

Current Year Activities

A. Facility/location identifier information:

Coden, AL

B. Description of PRO

Please specify the technology or practice that was implemented:

Use pipeline pump-down techniques to lower gas line pressure before maintenance

Please describe how your company implemented this PRO:

Portable Recompression

C. Level of Implementation

D. Methane Emissions Reduction

Methane Emissions Reduction: **19,320 Mcf/year**

Basis for the emissions reduction estimate: **Actual field measurement**

E. Are these emissions reductions a one-year reduction or a multi-year reduction?

☒ One-year

☐ Multi-year

If Multi-year:

Partner will report this activity once and let EPA automatically calculate future emission reductions based on sunset date duration.

Partner will report this activity annually up to allowed sunset date.

Annual Report 2011

Transmission Sector

OMB Control No. 2060-0328
Pending OMB Approval



F. Cost Summary

Estimated cost of implementing the PRO (including equipment and labor): **\$ 40,730**

G. Total Value of Gas Saved

Value of Gas Saved: **\$ 77,281**

\$ / Mcf used: **\$ 4.00**

H. Planned Future Activities

To what extent do you expect to implement this PRO next year?: **As Needed.**

Previous Years' Activities

Year	Frequency of practice/activity or # of Installations	Total Cost * (\$)	Estimated Reductions (Mcf/Yr)	Value of Gas Saved (\$)

* Total cost of practice/activity (including equipment and labor)

Additional Comments

Annual Report 2011

Transmission Sector

OMB Control No. 2060-0328
Pending OMB Approval



BMP4: Partner Reported Opportunities (PROs)

Current Year Activities

A. Facility/location identifier information:

Comer, GA

B. Description of PRO

Please specify the technology or practice that was implemented:

Use pipeline pump-down techniques to lower gas line pressure before maintenance

Please describe how your company implemented this PRO:

Portable Recompression

C. Level of Implementation

D. Methane Emissions Reduction

Methane Emissions Reduction: **11,012 Mcf/year**

Basis for the emissions reduction estimate: **Actual field measurement**

E. Are these emissions reductions a one-year reduction or a multi-year reduction?

☒ One-year

☐ Multi-year

If Multi-year:

Partner will report this activity once and let EPA automatically calculate future emission reductions based on sunset date duration.

Partner will report this activity annually up to allowed sunset date.

Annual Report 2011

Transmission Sector

OMB Control No. 2060-0328
Pending OMB Approval



F. Cost Summary

Estimated cost of implementing the PRO (including equipment and labor): **\$ 30,405**

G. Total Value of Gas Saved

Value of Gas Saved: **\$ 44,048**

\$ / Mcf used: **\$ 4.00**

H. Planned Future Activities

To what extent do you expect to implement this PRO next year?: **As Needed**

Previous Years' Activities

Year	Frequency of practice/activity or # of Installations	Total Cost * (\$)	Estimated Reductions (Mcf/Yr)	Value of Gas Saved (\$)

* Total cost of practice/activity (including equipment and labor)

Additional Comments

Annual Report 2011

Transmission Sector

OMB Control No. 2060-0328
Pending OMB Approval



BMP4: Partner Reported Opportunities (PROs)

Current Year Activities

A. Facility/location identifier information:

Conyers, GA

B. Description of PRO

Please specify the technology or practice that was implemented:

Use pipeline pump-down techniques to lower gas line pressure before maintenance

Please describe how your company implemented this PRO:

Portable Recompression

C. Level of Implementation

D. Methane Emissions Reduction

Methane Emissions Reduction: **33,448 Mcf/year**

Basis for the emissions reduction estimate: **Actual field measurement**

E. Are these emissions reductions a one-year reduction or a multi-year reduction?

☒ One-year

☐ Multi-year

If Multi-year:

Partner will report this activity once and let EPA automatically calculate future emission reductions based on sunset date duration.

Partner will report this activity annually up to allowed sunset date.

Annual Report 2011

Transmission Sector

OMB Control No. 2060-0328
Pending OMB Approval



F. Cost Summary

Estimated cost of implementing the PRO (including equipment and labor): **\$ 67,200**

G. Total Value of Gas Saved

Value of Gas Saved: **\$ 133,791**

\$ / Mcf used: **\$ 4.00**

H. Planned Future Activities

To what extent do you expect to implement this PRO next year?: **As Needed**

Previous Years' Activities

Year	Frequency of practice/activity or # of Installations	Total Cost * (\$)	Estimated Reductions (Mcf/Yr)	Value of Gas Saved (\$)

* Total cost of practice/activity (including equipment and labor)

Additional Comments

Annual Report 2011

Transmission Sector

OMB Control No. 2060-0328

Pending OMB Approval



BMP4: Partner Reported Opportunities (PROs)

Current Year Activities

A. Facility/location identifier information:

Corpus Christi, TX

B. Description of PRO

Please specify the technology or practice that was implemented:

Use pipeline pump-down techniques to lower gas line pressure before maintenance

Please describe how your company implemented this PRO:

Portable Recompression

C. Level of Implementation

D. Methane Emissions Reduction

Methane Emissions Reduction: **20,621 Mcf/year**

Basis for the emissions reduction estimate: **Actual field measurement**

E. Are these emissions reductions a one-year reduction or a multi-year reduction?

☒ One-year

☐ Multi-year

If Multi-year:

Partner will report this activity once and let EPA automatically calculate future emission reductions based on sunset date duration.

Partner will report this activity annually up to allowed sunset date.

Annual Report 2011

Transmission Sector

OMB Control No. 2060-0328
Pending OMB Approval



F. Cost Summary

Estimated cost of implementing the PRO (including equipment and labor): **\$ 41,190**

G. Total Value of Gas Saved

Value of Gas Saved: **\$ 82,482**

\$ / Mcf used: **\$ 4.00**

H. Planned Future Activities

To what extent do you expect to implement this PRO next year?: **As Needed**

Previous Years' Activities

Year	Frequency of practice/activity or # of Installations	Total Cost * (\$)	Estimated Reductions (Mcf/Yr)	Value of Gas Saved (\$)

* Total cost of practice/activity (including equipment and labor)

Additional Comments

Annual Report 2011

Transmission Sector

OMB Control No. 2060-0328

Pending OMB Approval



BMP4: Partner Reported Opportunities (PROs)

Current Year Activities

A. Facility/location identifier information:

Cowpens, SC

B. Description of PRO

Please specify the technology or practice that was implemented:

Use pipeline pump-down techniques to lower gas line pressure before maintenance

Please describe how your company implemented this PRO:

Portable Recompression

C. Level of Implementation

D. Methane Emissions Reduction

Methane Emissions Reduction: **16,120 Mcf/year**

Basis for the emissions reduction estimate: **Actual field measurement**

E. Are these emissions reductions a one-year reduction or a multi-year reduction?

☒ One-year

☐ Multi-year

If Multi-year:

Partner will report this activity once and let EPA automatically calculate future emission reductions based on sunset date duration.

Partner will report this activity annually up to allowed sunset date.

Annual Report 2011

Transmission Sector

OMB Control No. 2060-0328
Pending OMB Approval



F. Cost Summary

Estimated cost of implementing the PRO (including equipment and labor): **\$ 22,485**

G. Total Value of Gas Saved

Value of Gas Saved: **\$ 64,482**

\$ / Mcf used: **\$ 4.00**

H. Planned Future Activities

To what extent do you expect to implement this PRO next year?: **As Needed**

Previous Years' Activities

Year	Frequency of practice/activity or # of Installations	Total Cost * (\$)	Estimated Reductions (Mcf/Yr)	Value of Gas Saved (\$)

* Total cost of practice/activity (including equipment and labor)

Additional Comments

Annual Report 2011

Transmission Sector

OMB Control No. 2060-0328

Pending OMB Approval



BMP4: Partner Reported Opportunities (PROs)

Current Year Activities

A. Facility/location identifier information:

Eden, NC

B. Description of PRO

Please specify the technology or practice that was implemented:

Use pipeline pump-down techniques to lower gas line pressure before maintenance

Please describe how your company implemented this PRO:

Portable Recompression

C. Level of Implementation

D. Methane Emissions Reduction

Methane Emissions Reduction: **14,932 Mcf/year**

Basis for the emissions reduction estimate: **Actual field measurement**

E. Are these emissions reductions a one-year reduction or a multi-year reduction?

☒ One-year

☐ Multi-year

If Multi-year:

Partner will report this activity once and let EPA automatically calculate future emission reductions based on sunset date duration.

Partner will report this activity annually up to allowed sunset date.

Annual Report 2011

Transmission Sector

OMB Control No. 2060-0328
Pending OMB Approval



F. Cost Summary

Estimated cost of implementing the PRO (including equipment and labor): **\$ 34,200**

G. Total Value of Gas Saved

Value of Gas Saved: **\$ 59,729**

\$ / Mcf used: **\$ 4.00**

H. Planned Future Activities

To what extent do you expect to implement this PRO next year?: **As Needed.**

Previous Years' Activities

Year	Frequency of practice/activity or # of Installations	Total Cost * (\$)	Estimated Reductions (Mcf/Yr)	Value of Gas Saved (\$)

* Total cost of practice/activity (including equipment and labor)

Additional Comments

Annual Report 2011

Transmission Sector

OMB Control No. 2060-0328
Pending OMB Approval



BMP4: Partner Reported Opportunities (PROs)

Current Year Activities

A. Facility/location identifier information:

Gastonia, NC

B. Description of PRO

Please specify the technology or practice that was implemented:

Use pipeline pump-down techniques to lower gas line pressure before maintenance

Please describe how your company implemented this PRO:

Portable Recompression

C. Level of Implementation

D. Methane Emissions Reduction

Methane Emissions Reduction: **12,415 Mcf/year**

Basis for the emissions reduction estimate: **Actual field measurement**

E. Are these emissions reductions a one-year reduction or a multi-year reduction?

☒ One-year

☐ Multi-year

If Multi-year:

Partner will report this activity once and let EPA automatically calculate future emission reductions based on sunset date duration.

Partner will report this activity annually up to allowed sunset date.

Annual Report 2011

Transmission Sector

OMB Control No. 2060-0328
Pending OMB Approval



F. Cost Summary

Estimated cost of implementing the PRO (including equipment and labor): **\$ 29,910**

G. Total Value of Gas Saved

Value of Gas Saved: **\$ 49,662**

\$ / Mcf used: **\$ 4.00**

H. Planned Future Activities

To what extent do you expect to implement this PRO next year?: **As Needed.**

Previous Years' Activities

Year	Frequency of practice/activity or # of Installations	Total Cost * (\$)	Estimated Reductions (Mcf/Yr)	Value of Gas Saved (\$)

* Total cost of practice/activity (including equipment and labor)

Additional Comments

Annual Report 2011

Transmission Sector

OMB Control No. 2060-0328

Pending OMB Approval



BMP4: Partner Reported Opportunities (PROs)

Current Year Activities

A. Facility/location identifier information:

Katy, TX

B. Description of PRO

Please specify the technology or practice that was implemented:

Use pipeline pump-down techniques to lower gas line pressure before maintenance

Please describe how your company implemented this PRO:

Portable Recompression

C. Level of Implementation

D. Methane Emissions Reduction

Methane Emissions Reduction: **12,144 Mcf/year**

Basis for the emissions reduction estimate: **Actual field measurement**

E. Are these emissions reductions a one-year reduction or a multi-year reduction?

☒ One-year

☐ Multi-year

If Multi-year:

Partner will report this activity once and let EPA automatically calculate future emission reductions based on sunset date duration.

Partner will report this activity annually up to allowed sunset date.

Annual Report 2011

Transmission Sector

OMB Control No. 2060-0328
Pending OMB Approval



F. Cost Summary

Estimated cost of implementing the PRO (including equipment and labor): **\$ 24,960**

G. Total Value of Gas Saved

Value of Gas Saved: **\$ 48,576**

\$ / Mcf used: **\$ 4.00**

H. Planned Future Activities

To what extent do you expect to implement this PRO next year?: **As Needed.**

Previous Years' Activities

Year	Frequency of practice/activity or # of Installations	Total Cost * (\$)	Estimated Reductions (Mcf/Yr)	Value of Gas Saved (\$)

* Total cost of practice/activity (including equipment and labor)

Additional Comments

Annual Report 2011

Transmission Sector

OMB Control No. 2060-0328

Pending OMB Approval



BMP4: Partner Reported Opportunities (PROs)

Current Year Activities

A. Facility/location identifier information:

Lawrenceville, NJ

B. Description of PRO

Please specify the technology or practice that was implemented:

Use pipeline pump-down techniques to lower gas line pressure before maintenance

Please describe how your company implemented this PRO:

Portable Recompression

C. Level of Implementation

D. Methane Emissions Reduction

Methane Emissions Reduction: **5,352 Mcf/year**

Basis for the emissions reduction estimate: **Actual field measurement**

E. Are these emissions reductions a one-year reduction or a multi-year reduction?

☒ One-year

☐ Multi-year

If Multi-year:

Partner will report this activity once and let EPA automatically calculate future emission reductions based on sunset date duration.

Partner will report this activity annually up to allowed sunset date.

Annual Report 2011

Transmission Sector

OMB Control No. 2060-0328
Pending OMB Approval



F. Cost Summary

Estimated cost of implementing the PRO (including equipment and labor): **\$ 15,210**

G. Total Value of Gas Saved

Value of Gas Saved: **\$ 21,407**

\$ / Mcf used: **\$ 4.00**

H. Planned Future Activities

To what extent do you expect to implement this PRO next year?: **As Needed.**

Previous Years' Activities

Year	Frequency of practice/activity or # of Installations	Total Cost * (\$)	Estimated Reductions (Mcf/Yr)	Value of Gas Saved (\$)

* Total cost of practice/activity (including equipment and labor)

Additional Comments

Annual Report 2011

Transmission Sector

OMB Control No. 2060-0328

Pending OMB Approval



BMP4: Partner Reported Opportunities (PROs)

Current Year Activities

A. Facility/location identifier information:

Lexington, NC

B. Description of PRO

Please specify the technology or practice that was implemented:

Use pipeline pump-down techniques to lower gas line pressure before maintenance

Please describe how your company implemented this PRO:

Portable Recompression

C. Level of Implementation

D. Methane Emissions Reduction

Methane Emissions Reduction: **13,491 Mcf/year**

Basis for the emissions reduction estimate: **Actual field measurement**

E. Are these emissions reductions a one-year reduction or a multi-year reduction?

☒ One-year

☐ Multi-year

If Multi-year:

Partner will report this activity once and let EPA automatically calculate future emission reductions based on sunset date duration.

Partner will report this activity annually up to allowed sunset date.

Annual Report 2011

Transmission Sector

OMB Control No. 2060-0328
Pending OMB Approval



F. Cost Summary

Estimated cost of implementing the PRO (including equipment and labor): **\$ 29,250**

G. Total Value of Gas Saved

Value of Gas Saved: **\$ 53,965**

\$ / Mcf used: **\$ 4.00**

H. Planned Future Activities

To what extent do you expect to implement this PRO next year?: **As Needed.**

Previous Years' Activities

Year	Frequency of practice/activity or # of Installations	Total Cost * (\$)	Estimated Reductions (Mcf/Yr)	Value of Gas Saved (\$)

* Total cost of practice/activity (including equipment and labor)

Additional Comments

Annual Report 2011

Transmission Sector

OMB Control No. 2060-0328
Pending OMB Approval



BMP4: Partner Reported Opportunities (PROs)

Current Year Activities

A. Facility/location identifier information:

Manassas, VA

B. Description of PRO

Please specify the technology or practice that was implemented:

Use pipeline pump-down techniques to lower gas line pressure before maintenance

Please describe how your company implemented this PRO:

Portable Recompression

C. Level of Implementation

D. Methane Emissions Reduction

Methane Emissions Reduction: **20,415 Mcf/year**

Basis for the emissions reduction estimate: **Actual field measurement**

E. Are these emissions reductions a one-year reduction or a multi-year reduction?

☒ One-year

☐ Multi-year

If Multi-year:

Partner will report this activity once and let EPA automatically calculate future emission reductions based on sunset date duration.

Partner will report this activity annually up to allowed sunset date.

Annual Report 2011

Transmission Sector

OMB Control No. 2060-0328
Pending OMB Approval



F. Cost Summary

Estimated cost of implementing the PRO (including equipment and labor): **\$ 44,726**

G. Total Value of Gas Saved

Value of Gas Saved: **\$ 81,659**

\$ / Mcf used: **\$ 4.00**

H. Planned Future Activities

To what extent do you expect to implement this PRO next year?: **As Needed.**

Previous Years' Activities

Year	Frequency of practice/activity or # of Installations	Total Cost * (\$)	Estimated Reductions (Mcf/Yr)	Value of Gas Saved (\$)

* Total cost of practice/activity (including equipment and labor)

Additional Comments

Annual Report 2011

Transmission Sector

OMB Control No. 2060-0328

Pending OMB Approval



BMP4: Partner Reported Opportunities (PROs)

Current Year Activities

A. Facility/location identifier information:

Monroe, GA

B. Description of PRO

Please specify the technology or practice that was implemented:

Use pipeline pump-down techniques to lower gas line pressure before maintenance

Please describe how your company implemented this PRO:

Portable Recompression

C. Level of Implementation

D. Methane Emissions Reduction

Methane Emissions Reduction: **22,632 Mcf/year**

Basis for the emissions reduction estimate: **Actual field measurement**

E. Are these emissions reductions a one-year reduction or a multi-year reduction?

☒ One-year

☐ Multi-year

If Multi-year:

Partner will report this activity once and let EPA automatically calculate future emission reductions based on sunset date duration.

Partner will report this activity annually up to allowed sunset date.

Annual Report 2011

Transmission Sector

OMB Control No. 2060-0328
Pending OMB Approval



F. Cost Summary

Estimated cost of implementing the PRO (including equipment and labor): **\$ 48,600**

G. Total Value of Gas Saved

Value of Gas Saved: **\$ 90,529**

\$ / Mcf used: **\$ 4.00**

H. Planned Future Activities

To what extent do you expect to implement this PRO next year?: **As Needed.**

Previous Years' Activities

Year	Frequency of practice/activity or # of Installations	Total Cost * (\$)	Estimated Reductions (Mcf/Yr)	Value of Gas Saved (\$)

* Total cost of practice/activity (including equipment and labor)

Additional Comments

Annual Report 2011

Transmission Sector

OMB Control No. 2060-0328

Pending OMB Approval



BMP4: Partner Reported Opportunities (PROs)

Current Year Activities

A. Facility/location identifier information:

Mooreville, NC

B. Description of PRO

Please specify the technology or practice that was implemented:

Use pipeline pump-down techniques to lower gas line pressure before maintenance

Please describe how your company implemented this PRO:

Portable Recompression

C. Level of Implementation

D. Methane Emissions Reduction

Methane Emissions Reduction: **55,968 Mcf/year**

Basis for the emissions reduction estimate: **Actual field measurement**

E. Are these emissions reductions a one-year reduction or a multi-year reduction?

☒ One-year

☐ Multi-year

If Multi-year:

Partner will report this activity once and let EPA automatically calculate future emission reductions based on sunset date duration.

Partner will report this activity annually up to allowed sunset date.

Annual Report 2011

Transmission Sector

OMB Control No. 2060-0328
Pending OMB Approval



F. Cost Summary

Estimated cost of implementing the PRO (including equipment and labor): **\$ 115,060**

G. Total Value of Gas Saved

Value of Gas Saved: **\$ 223,870**

\$ / Mcf used: **\$ 4.00**

H. Planned Future Activities

To what extent do you expect to implement this PRO next year?: **As Needed.**

Previous Years' Activities

Year	Frequency of practice/activity or # of Installations	Total Cost * (\$)	Estimated Reductions (Mcf/Yr)	Value of Gas Saved (\$)

* Total cost of practice/activity (including equipment and labor)

Additional Comments

Annual Report 2011

Transmission Sector

OMB Control No. 2060-0328
Pending OMB Approval



BMP4: Partner Reported Opportunities (PROs)

Current Year Activities

A. Facility/location identifier information:

Neschanic Station, NJ

B. Description of PRO

Please specify the technology or practice that was implemented:

Use pipeline pump-down techniques to lower gas line pressure before maintenance

Please describe how your company implemented this PRO:

Portable Recompression

C. Level of Implementation

D. Methane Emissions Reduction

Methane Emissions Reduction: **6,764 Mcf/year**

Basis for the emissions reduction estimate: **Actual field measurement**

E. Are these emissions reductions a one-year reduction or a multi-year reduction?

☒ One-year

☐ Multi-year

If Multi-year:

Partner will report this activity once and let EPA automatically calculate future emission reductions based on sunset date duration.

Partner will report this activity annually up to allowed sunset date.

Annual Report 2011

Transmission Sector

OMB Control No. 2060-0328
Pending OMB Approval



F. Cost Summary

Estimated cost of implementing the PRO (including equipment and labor): **\$ 19,830**

G. Total Value of Gas Saved

Value of Gas Saved: **\$ 27,058**

\$ / Mcf used: **\$ 4.00**

H. Planned Future Activities

To what extent do you expect to implement this PRO next year?: **As Needed.**

Previous Years' Activities

Year	Frequency of practice/activity or # of Installations	Total Cost * (\$)	Estimated Reductions (Mcf/Yr)	Value of Gas Saved (\$)

* Total cost of practice/activity (including equipment and labor)

Additional Comments

Annual Report 2011

Transmission Sector

OMB Control No. 2060-0328

Pending OMB Approval



BMP4: Partner Reported Opportunities (PROs)

Current Year Activities

A. Facility/location identifier information:

Newnan, GA

B. Description of PRO

Please specify the technology or practice that was implemented:

Use pipeline pump-down techniques to lower gas line pressure before maintenance

Please describe how your company implemented this PRO:

Portable Recompression

C. Level of Implementation

D. Methane Emissions Reduction

Methane Emissions Reduction: **75,166 Mcf/year**

Basis for the emissions reduction estimate: **Actual field measurement**

E. Are these emissions reductions a one-year reduction or a multi-year reduction?

☒ One-year

☐ Multi-year

If Multi-year:

Partner will report this activity once and let EPA automatically calculate future emission reductions based on sunset date duration.

Partner will report this activity annually up to allowed sunset date.

Annual Report 2011

Transmission Sector

OMB Control No. 2060-0328
Pending OMB Approval



F. Cost Summary

Estimated cost of implementing the PRO (including equipment and labor): **\$ 163,415**

G. Total Value of Gas Saved

Value of Gas Saved: **\$ 300,664**

\$ / Mcf used: **\$ 4.00**

H. Planned Future Activities

To what extent do you expect to implement this PRO next year?: **As Needed.**

Previous Years' Activities

Year	Frequency of practice/activity or # of Installations	Total Cost * (\$)	Estimated Reductions (Mcf/Yr)	Value of Gas Saved (\$)

* Total cost of practice/activity (including equipment and labor)

Additional Comments

Annual Report 2011

Transmission Sector

OMB Control No. 2060-0328

Pending OMB Approval



BMP4: Partner Reported Opportunities (PROs)

Current Year Activities

A. Facility/location identifier information:

Oak Hill, NC

B. Description of PRO

Please specify the technology or practice that was implemented:

Use pipeline pump-down techniques to lower gas line pressure before maintenance

Please describe how your company implemented this PRO:

Portable Recompression

C. Level of Implementation

D. Methane Emissions Reduction

Methane Emissions Reduction: **20,078 Mcf/year**

Basis for the emissions reduction estimate: **Actual field measurement**

E. Are these emissions reductions a one-year reduction or a multi-year reduction?

☒ One-year

☐ Multi-year

If Multi-year:

Partner will report this activity once and let EPA automatically calculate future emission reductions based on sunset date duration.

Partner will report this activity annually up to allowed sunset date.

Annual Report 2011

Transmission Sector

OMB Control No. 2060-0328
Pending OMB Approval



F. Cost Summary

Estimated cost of implementing the PRO (including equipment and labor): **\$ 53,220**

G. Total Value of Gas Saved

Value of Gas Saved: **\$ 80,312**

\$ / Mcf used: **\$ 4.00**

H. Planned Future Activities

To what extent do you expect to implement this PRO next year?: **As Needed.**

Previous Years' Activities

Year	Frequency of practice/activity or # of Installations	Total Cost * (\$)	Estimated Reductions (Mcf/Yr)	Value of Gas Saved (\$)

* Total cost of practice/activity (including equipment and labor)

Additional Comments

Annual Report 2011

Transmission Sector

OMB Control No. 2060-0328
Pending OMB Approval



BMP4: Partner Reported Opportunities (PROs)

Current Year Activities

A. Facility/location identifier information:

Pelzer, SC

B. Description of PRO

Please specify the technology or practice that was implemented:

Use pipeline pump-down techniques to lower gas line pressure before maintenance

Please describe how your company implemented this PRO:

Portable Recompression

C. Level of Implementation

D. Methane Emissions Reduction

Methane Emissions Reduction: **23,979 Mcf/year**

Basis for the emissions reduction estimate: **Actual field measurement**

E. Are these emissions reductions a one-year reduction or a multi-year reduction?

☒ One-year

☐ Multi-year

If Multi-year:

Partner will report this activity once and let EPA automatically calculate future emission reductions based on sunset date duration.

Partner will report this activity annually up to allowed sunset date.

Annual Report 2011

Transmission Sector

OMB Control No. 2060-0328
Pending OMB Approval



F. Cost Summary

Estimated cost of implementing the PRO (including equipment and labor): **\$ 56,950**

G. Total Value of Gas Saved

Value of Gas Saved: **\$ 95,918**

\$ / Mcf used: **\$ 4.00**

H. Planned Future Activities

To what extent do you expect to implement this PRO next year?: **As Needed.**

Previous Years' Activities

Year	Frequency of practice/activity or # of Installations	Total Cost * (\$)	Estimated Reductions (Mcf/Yr)	Value of Gas Saved (\$)

* Total cost of practice/activity (including equipment and labor)

Additional Comments

Annual Report 2011

Transmission Sector

OMB Control No. 2060-0328

Pending OMB Approval



BMP4: Partner Reported Opportunities (PROs)

Current Year Activities

A. Facility/location identifier information:

Refugio, TX

B. Description of PRO

Please specify the technology or practice that was implemented:

Use pipeline pump-down techniques to lower gas line pressure before maintenance

Please describe how your company implemented this PRO:

Portable Recompression

C. Level of Implementation

D. Methane Emissions Reduction

Methane Emissions Reduction: **23,652 Mcf/year**

Basis for the emissions reduction estimate: **Actual field measurement**

E. Are these emissions reductions a one-year reduction or a multi-year reduction?

☒ One-year

☐ Multi-year

If Multi-year:

Partner will report this activity once and let EPA automatically calculate future emission reductions based on sunset date duration.

Partner will report this activity annually up to allowed sunset date.

Annual Report 2011

Transmission Sector

OMB Control No. 2060-0328
Pending OMB Approval



F. Cost Summary

Estimated cost of implementing the PRO (including equipment and labor): **\$ 42,570**

G. Total Value of Gas Saved

Value of Gas Saved: **\$ 94,608**

\$ / Mcf used: **\$ 4.00**

H. Planned Future Activities

To what extent do you expect to implement this PRO next year?: **As Needed.**

Previous Years' Activities

Year	Frequency of practice/activity or # of Installations	Total Cost * (\$)	Estimated Reductions (Mcf/Yr)	Value of Gas Saved (\$)

* Total cost of practice/activity (including equipment and labor)

Additional Comments

Annual Report 2011

Transmission Sector

OMB Control No. 2060-0328
Pending OMB Approval



BMP4: Partner Reported Opportunities (PROs)

Current Year Activities

A. Facility/location identifier information:

Repaupo, NJ

B. Description of PRO

Please specify the technology or practice that was implemented:

Use pipeline pump-down techniques to lower gas line pressure before maintenance

Please describe how your company implemented this PRO:

Portable Recompression

C. Level of Implementation

D. Methane Emissions Reduction

Methane Emissions Reduction: **11,171 Mcf/year**

Basis for the emissions reduction estimate: **Actual field measurement**

E. Are these emissions reductions a one-year reduction or a multi-year reduction?

☒ One-year

☐ Multi-year

If Multi-year:

Partner will report this activity once and let EPA automatically calculate future emission reductions based on sunset date duration.

Partner will report this activity annually up to allowed sunset date.

Annual Report 2011

Transmission Sector

OMB Control No. 2060-0328
Pending OMB Approval



F. Cost Summary

Estimated cost of implementing the PRO (including equipment and labor): **\$ 22,650**

G. Total Value of Gas Saved

Value of Gas Saved: **\$ 44,684**

\$ / Mcf used: **\$ 4.00**

H. Planned Future Activities

To what extent do you expect to implement this PRO next year?: **As Needed.**

Previous Years' Activities

Year	Frequency of practice/activity or # of Installations	Total Cost * (\$)	Estimated Reductions (Mcf/Yr)	Value of Gas Saved (\$)

* Total cost of practice/activity (including equipment and labor)

Additional Comments

Annual Report 2011

Transmission Sector

OMB Control No. 2060-0328
Pending OMB Approval



BMP4: Partner Reported Opportunities (PROs)

Current Year Activities

A. Facility/location identifier information:

Roanoke, AL

B. Description of PRO

Please specify the technology or practice that was implemented:

Use pipeline pump-down techniques to lower gas line pressure before maintenance

Please describe how your company implemented this PRO:

Portable Recompression

C. Level of Implementation

D. Methane Emissions Reduction

Methane Emissions Reduction: **13,267 Mcf/year**

Basis for the emissions reduction estimate: **Actual field measurement**

E. Are these emissions reductions a one-year reduction or a multi-year reduction?

☒ One-year

☐ Multi-year

If Multi-year:

Partner will report this activity once and let EPA automatically calculate future emission reductions based on sunset date duration.

Partner will report this activity annually up to allowed sunset date.

Annual Report 2011

Transmission Sector

OMB Control No. 2060-0328
Pending OMB Approval



F. Cost Summary

Estimated cost of implementing the PRO (including equipment and labor): **\$ 29,920**

G. Total Value of Gas Saved

Value of Gas Saved: **\$ 53,067**

\$ / Mcf used: **\$ 4.00**

H. Planned Future Activities

To what extent do you expect to implement this PRO next year?: **As Needed.**

Previous Years' Activities

Year	Frequency of practice/activity or # of Installations	Total Cost * (\$)	Estimated Reductions (Mcf/Yr)	Value of Gas Saved (\$)

* Total cost of practice/activity (including equipment and labor)

Additional Comments

Annual Report 2011

Transmission Sector

OMB Control No. 2060-0328
Pending OMB Approval



BMP4: Partner Reported Opportunities (PROs)

Current Year Activities

A. Facility/location identifier information:

Rockford, AL

B. Description of PRO

Please specify the technology or practice that was implemented:

Use pipeline pump-down techniques to lower gas line pressure before maintenance

Please describe how your company implemented this PRO:

Portable Recompression

C. Level of Implementation

D. Methane Emissions Reduction

Methane Emissions Reduction: **5,763 Mcf/year**

Basis for the emissions reduction estimate: **Actual field measurement**

E. Are these emissions reductions a one-year reduction or a multi-year reduction?

☒ One-year

☐ Multi-year

If Multi-year:

Partner will report this activity once and let EPA automatically calculate future emission reductions based on sunset date duration.

Partner will report this activity annually up to allowed sunset date.

Annual Report 2011

Transmission Sector

OMB Control No. 2060-0328
Pending OMB Approval



F. Cost Summary

Estimated cost of implementing the PRO (including equipment and labor): **\$ 28,920**

G. Total Value of Gas Saved

Value of Gas Saved: **\$ 23,053**

\$ / Mcf used: **\$ 4.00**

H. Planned Future Activities

To what extent do you expect to implement this PRO next year?: **As Needed.**

Previous Years' Activities

Year	Frequency of practice/activity or # of Installations	Total Cost * (\$)	Estimated Reductions (Mcf/Yr)	Value of Gas Saved (\$)

* Total cost of practice/activity (including equipment and labor)

Additional Comments

Annual Report 2011

Transmission Sector

OMB Control No. 2060-0328

Pending OMB Approval



BMP4: Partner Reported Opportunities (PROs)

Current Year Activities

A. Facility/location identifier information:

Sandersville, MS

B. Description of PRO

Please specify the technology or practice that was implemented:

Use pipeline pump-down techniques to lower gas line pressure before maintenance

Please describe how your company implemented this PRO:

Portable Recompression

C. Level of Implementation

D. Methane Emissions Reduction

Methane Emissions Reduction: **28,190 Mcf/year**

Basis for the emissions reduction estimate: **Actual field measurement**

E. Are these emissions reductions a one-year reduction or a multi-year reduction?

☒ One-year

☐ Multi-year

If Multi-year:

Partner will report this activity once and let EPA automatically calculate future emission reductions based on sunset date duration.

Partner will report this activity annually up to allowed sunset date.

Annual Report 2011

Transmission Sector

OMB Control No. 2060-0328
Pending OMB Approval



F. Cost Summary

Estimated cost of implementing the PRO (including equipment and labor): **\$ 49,840**

G. Total Value of Gas Saved

Value of Gas Saved: **\$ 112,759**

\$ / Mcf used: **\$ 4.00**

H. Planned Future Activities

To what extent do you expect to implement this PRO next year?: **As Needed.**

Previous Years' Activities

Year	Frequency of practice/activity or # of Installations	Total Cost * (\$)	Estimated Reductions (Mcf/Yr)	Value of Gas Saved (\$)

* Total cost of practice/activity (including equipment and labor)

Additional Comments

Annual Report 2011

Transmission Sector

OMB Control No. 2060-0328
Pending OMB Approval



BMP4: Partner Reported Opportunities (PROs)

Current Year Activities

A. Facility/location identifier information:

Sweet Water, AL

B. Description of PRO

Please specify the technology or practice that was implemented:

Use pipeline pump-down techniques to lower gas line pressure before maintenance

Please describe how your company implemented this PRO:

Portable Recompression

C. Level of Implementation

D. Methane Emissions Reduction

Methane Emissions Reduction: **216,545 Mcf/year**

Basis for the emissions reduction estimate: **Actual field measurement**

E. Are these emissions reductions a one-year reduction or a multi-year reduction?

☒ One-year

☐ Multi-year

If Multi-year:

Partner will report this activity once and let EPA automatically calculate future emission reductions based on sunset date duration.

Partner will report this activity annually up to allowed sunset date.

Annual Report 2011

Transmission Sector

OMB Control No. 2060-0328
Pending OMB Approval



F. Cost Summary

Estimated cost of implementing the PRO (including equipment and labor): **\$ 359,360**

G. Total Value of Gas Saved

Value of Gas Saved: **\$ 866,178**

\$ / Mcf used: **\$ 4.00**

H. Planned Future Activities

To what extent do you expect to implement this PRO next year?: **As Needed**

Previous Years' Activities

Year	Frequency of practice/activity or # of Installations	Total Cost * (\$)	Estimated Reductions (Mcf/Yr)	Value of Gas Saved (\$)

* Total cost of practice/activity (including equipment and labor)

Additional Comments

Annual Report 2011

Transmission Sector

OMB Control No. 2060-0328

Pending OMB Approval



BMP4: Partner Reported Opportunities (PROs)

Current Year Activities

A. Facility/location identifier information:

Verbena, AL

B. Description of PRO

Please specify the technology or practice that was implemented:

Use pipeline pump-down techniques to lower gas line pressure before maintenance

Please describe how your company implemented this PRO:

Portable Recompression

C. Level of Implementation

D. Methane Emissions Reduction

Methane Emissions Reduction: **47,631 Mcf/year**

Basis for the emissions reduction estimate: **Actual field measurement**

E. Are these emissions reductions a one-year reduction or a multi-year reduction?

☒ One-year

☐ Multi-year

If Multi-year:

Partner will report this activity once and let EPA automatically calculate future emission reductions based on sunset date duration.

Partner will report this activity annually up to allowed sunset date.

Annual Report 2011

Transmission Sector

OMB Control No. 2060-0328
Pending OMB Approval



F. Cost Summary

Estimated cost of implementing the PRO (including equipment and labor): **\$ 98,505**

G. Total Value of Gas Saved

Value of Gas Saved: **\$ 190,526**

\$ / Mcf used: **\$ 4.00**

H. Planned Future Activities

To what extent do you expect to implement this PRO next year?: **As Needed.**

Previous Years' Activities

Year	Frequency of practice/activity or # of Installations	Total Cost * (\$)	Estimated Reductions (Mcf/Yr)	Value of Gas Saved (\$)

* Total cost of practice/activity (including equipment and labor)

Additional Comments

Annual Report 2011

Transmission Sector

OMB Control No. 2060-0328
Pending OMB Approval



BMP4: Partner Reported Opportunities (PROs)

Current Year Activities

A. Facility/location identifier information:

Wadley, AL

B. Description of PRO

Please specify the technology or practice that was implemented:

Use pipeline pump-down techniques to lower gas line pressure before maintenance

Please describe how your company implemented this PRO:

Portable Recompression

C. Level of Implementation

D. Methane Emissions Reduction

Methane Emissions Reduction: **32,016 Mcf/year**

Basis for the emissions reduction estimate: **Actual field measurement**

E. Are these emissions reductions a one-year reduction or a multi-year reduction?

☒ One-year

☐ Multi-year

If Multi-year:

Partner will report this activity once and let EPA automatically calculate future emission reductions based on sunset date duration.

Partner will report this activity annually up to allowed sunset date.

Annual Report 2011

Transmission Sector

OMB Control No. 2060-0328
Pending OMB Approval



F. Cost Summary

Estimated cost of implementing the PRO (including equipment and labor): **\$ 53,610**

G. Total Value of Gas Saved

Value of Gas Saved: **\$ 128,065**

\$ / Mcf used: **\$ 4.00**

H. Planned Future Activities

To what extent do you expect to implement this PRO next year?: **As Needed.**

Previous Years' Activities

Year	Frequency of practice/activity or # of Installations	Total Cost * (\$)	Estimated Reductions (Mcf/Yr)	Value of Gas Saved (\$)

* Total cost of practice/activity (including equipment and labor)

Additional Comments

Annual Report 2011

Transmission Sector

OMB Control No. 2060-0328
Pending OMB Approval



Additional Accomplishments